

## NOTICE OF INTENT

### Department of Health

### Board of Pharmacy

#### Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) Requirements (LAC 46:LIII.1105)

In accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (R.S. 49:950 et seq.) and the Pharmacy Practice Act (R.S. 37:1161 et seq.), the Board of Pharmacy hereby gives notice of its intent to amend §1105 of its rules relative to Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC). The proposed Rule change in §1105.A. reduces the minimum experience requirement for a pharmacist to qualify for a PIC privilege from two years of active pharmacy practice to one year. The proposed Rule change in §1105.C. adds the authority and accountability of the owner of the pharmacy permit to the existing responsibility of the PIC for the complete supervision, management, and compliance with laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy of the entire prescription department.

### Title 46

## PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL STANDARDS

### Part LIII. Pharmacists

#### Chapter 11. Pharmacies

#### Subchapter A. General Requirements

#### §1105. Pharmacist-in-Charge

A. The opportunity to accept an appointment as the pharmacist-in-charge (PIC) of a pharmacy is a professional privilege. The following requirements are attached to a PIC privilege.

1. The acquisition of the PIC privilege shall require:

a. ...

b. active pharmacy practice for a minimum of one year under the jurisdiction of any board of pharmacy in the United States; and

c. ...

A.2. – B. ...

C. Authority and Accountability. The pharmacist-in-charge and the owner of the pharmacy permit shall be responsible for the complete supervision, management, and compliance with all federal and state pharmacy laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy of the entire prescription department. This responsibility necessarily includes accountability for any violation involving federal or state laws or regulations occurring within the prescription department supervised by a pharmacist-in-charge.

D. – K. ...

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 37:1182.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Health and Hospitals, Board of Pharmacy, LR 23:1310 (October 1997), amended LR 29:2088 (October 2003), effective January 1, 2004, LR 38:1239 (May 2012), amended by Department of Health, Board of Pharmacy, LR 46:579 (April 2020), LR 47:1643 (November 2021), amended LR

#### Family Impact Statement

In accordance with Section 953 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a family impact statement on the Rule proposed for adoption, repeal, or amendment. The following statements will be published in the *Louisiana Register* with the proposed agency Rule.

1. The Effect on the Stability of the Family. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on the stability of the family.

2. The Effect on the Authority and Rights of Parents Regarding the Education and Supervision of their Children. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on the authority and rights of parents regarding the education and supervision of their children.

3. The Effect on the Functioning of the Family. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on the functioning of the family.

4. The Effect on Family Earnings and Family Budget. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on family earnings and family budget.

5. The Effect on the Behavior and Personal Responsibility of Children. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on the behavior and personal responsibility of children.

6. The Ability of the Family or a Local Government to Perform the Function as Contained in the Proposed Rule. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on the ability of the family or a local government to perform the activity as contained in the proposed Rule.

### **Poverty Impact Statement**

In accordance with Section 973 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a poverty impact statement on the Rule proposed for adoption, repeal, or amendment.

1. The Effect on Household Income, Assets, and Financial Security. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on household income, assets, or financial security.

2. The Effect on Early Childhood Development and Preschool through Postsecondary Education Development. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on early childhood development or preschool through postsecondary education development.

3. The Effect on Employment and Workforce Development. The proposed Rule amendment will allow pharmacists with one year of experience to qualify for a Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) position, replacing the current requirement of two years of experience. These changes will benefit pharmacies by expanding the pool of eligible pharmacists for PIC credentials. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on workforce development.

4. The Effect on Taxes and Tax Credits. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on taxes or tax credits.

5. The Effect on Child and Dependent Care, Housing, Health Care, Nutrition, Transportation, and Utilities Assistance. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on child and dependent care, housing, health care, nutrition, transportation, or utilities assistance.

### **Small Business Analysis**

In accordance with Section 965 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a regulatory flexibility analysis on the Rule proposed for adoption, repeal, or amendment. This will certify the agency has considered, without limitation, each of the following methods of reducing the impact of the proposed Rule on small businesses:

1. The Establishment of Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements for Small Businesses. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on reporting requirements for small business.

2. The Establishment of Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting Requirements for Small Businesses. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

3. The Consolidation or Simplification of Compliance or Reporting Requirements for Small Businesses. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

4. The Establishment of Performance Standards for Small Businesses to Replace Design or Operational Standards Required in the Proposed Rule. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards for small business.

5. The Exemption of Small Businesses from All or Any Part of the Requirements Contained in the Proposed Rule. There are no exemptions for small businesses in the proposed Rule amendment.

### **Provider Impact Statement**

In accordance with House Concurrent Resolution No. 170 of the Regular Session of the 2014 Legislature, there is hereby submitted a provider impact statement on the Rule proposed for adoption, repeal, or amendment. This will certify the agency has considered, without limitation, the following effects on the providers of services to individuals with developmental disabilities:

1. The effect on the staffing level requirements or qualifications required to provide the same level of service. The proposed Rule amendment will have no effect on the staffing level requirements required to provide the same level of service. The proposed Rule amendment will decrease the minimum experience required for a pharmacist to qualify for a PIC privilege.

2. The Total Direct and Indirect Effect on the Cost to the Provider to Provide the Same Level of Service. The proposed Rule amendment will have no impact on the cost to the provider to provide the same level of service.

3. The Overall Effect on the Ability of the Provider to Provide the Same Level of service. The proposed Rule amendment will allow the provider to provide the same level of service with a PIC with a minimum of one year of experience as a pharmacist instead of a minimum of two years.

### **Public Comments**

Interested persons may submit written comments, via United States Postal Service or other carrier, or in the alternative by personal delivery to M. Joseph Fontenot Jr., Executive Director, at the office of the Louisiana Board of Pharmacy, 3388 Brentwood Drive, Baton Rouge, LA 70809-1700. He is responsible for responding to inquiries regarding the proposed Rule amendment. The deadline for the receipt of all written comments is 12 p.m. on Wednesday, February 26, 2025.

### **Public Hearing**

A public hearing to solicit comments and testimony on the proposed Rule changes is scheduled for 9 a.m. on Wednesday, February 26, 2025 at the board office. During the hearing, all interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit comments and testimony, either verbally or in writing. The deadline for the receipt of all comments and testimony is 12 p.m. that same day. To request reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities, please call the board office at 225.925.6496.

M. Joseph Fontenot Jr.

Executive Director

## **FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**

### **RULE TITLE: Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) Requirements**

#### **I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)**

The estimated implementation costs for the Louisiana Board of Pharmacy are approximately \$1,500 in FY 25 for the notice and rule publication in the Louisiana Register. There are no other estimated implementation costs or savings for state or local government units resulting from the promulgation of the proposed rule changes.

#### **II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)**

The proposed rule changes are not anticipated to impact the revenue collections of state or local governmental units.

#### **III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS, SMALL BUSINESSES, OR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GROUPS (Summary)**

There are no anticipated economic losses resulting from these proposed rule changes. These changes will benefit pharmacies by expanding the eligibility for pharmacists to obtain a Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) credential. Under these changes, pharmacists with one year of experience will be eligible to serve as PIC, rather than the current requirement of two years of experience.

#### **IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT (Summary)**

The proposed rule changes will allow pharmacists with one year of experience to qualify for a Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) position, replacing the current requirement of two years of experience. These changes will benefit pharmacies by expanding the pool of eligible pharmacists for PIC credentials. Additionally, no impact on competition is anticipated.